

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,371	06/22/2001		Brendon Conlan		8049	
26453	7590	. 06/20/2003				
BAKER &			EXAMI	EXAMINER .		
805 THIRD NEW YORI		0022		PHASGE,	PHASGE, ARUN S	
			·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1753	٨٠	
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	· •	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<'∕
, .		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/887,371	CONLAN ET AL.	
i	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Arun S. Phasge	1753	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondenc ad	dress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the method patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) <u></u>		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und			e ments is
	on of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 43-86</u> is/are pending in the	• •		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
· · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
-	Claim(s) <u>1 and 43-86</u> is/are rejected.			
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Alexandra Para de Para de Al		
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an on Papers	a/or election requirement.		
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) 🗌 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ,	
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in a	Application No. <u>09/470,823</u>	<u>3</u> .
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		application)
_ a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has t	peen received.	application).
ے لےاردا Attachment	-	esuo priority under 33 O.S.C	. 33 120 and/01 121.	
I) X Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Informal Patent Application (PTo	

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to the oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.52(c).

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6464851. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See

Application/Control Number: 09/887,371

Art Unit: 1753

In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 43-86 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,464,851 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims when read in light of the specification clearly encompass and render obvious the claimed method.

The method of the prior patent disclose the separation of blood protein from a pathogen, including the group presently claimed, comprising the steps of providing a biological liquid with potential pathogens present in an apparatus comprising an anode and a cathode and a separation means, such as the membranes claimed, positioned between said anode and said cathode, applying current between said anode and cathode causing one of said pathogens or said protein to pass said separation means and recovering the protein essentially free of pathogens (see

Application/Control Number: 09/887,371

Art Unit: 1753

claims 1-36). The reference further discloses the size of the pores (see claim 30).

The patent further claims the use of multiple membranes (see claims 10-18).

The reference does not disclose that the separation means is a filtration

means, such as ultrafiltration or nanofiltration membrane. The membranes claimed

in the prior patent would encompass the presently claimed range. Accordingly, the

invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made that the present claims are obvious

embodiments of the prior patent, because the prior patent discloses the broad

range of materials being treated and membranes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102

that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office

action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in

the United States.

Claims 43-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Mullon et al. (Mullon), article entitled, "Forced-Flow Electrophoresis of Proteins

and Viruses.

Page 4

Mullon discloses the claimed method and apparatus for the removal of either a pharmaceutically active molecule from a pathogen or a pathogen from a pharmaceutically active molecule in a biological liquids comprising the steps of providing a biological liquid, where pathogens are potentially present in an apparatus comprising an anode and a cathode and a separation means, such as the semi-permeable membrane, applying current between the anode and cathode to cause one of said pathogens or pharmaceutically active molecules to pass said separation means and recovering the molecule essentially free of pathogens (see pages 124-126). The reference discloses the selection of different cut-off values for the membranes, which would read upon the claimed membranes and different filtration characteristics (see pages 123-126). The reference further shows the use of at least four different chambers (see figures 1 and 2). The reference further discloses the separation of viruses from protein (see page 134).

Therefore, the claims are anticipated.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/887,371

Art Unit: 1753

(703) 308-2528. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY,

7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax

phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for

After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0661.

Arun S. Phasge

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1753

asp

June 16, 2003